

Deborah Lariviere INTRODUCTION TO PHILOSOPHY(ONL) (PHIL1301 40221S)

BC Survey - Results 2022 Fall (16-Week - 221S), INTRODUCTION TO

Dear Instructor,

Below are the 2022 Fall (16-Week - 221S) survey results for "INTRODUCTION TO PHILOSOPHY(ONL) (PHIL1301 40221S)".

The overall indicator is listed first.

The second part of the analysis lists the average values of all individual questions.

Comments are listed at the report's end.

If you have any further questions, please reach out to a member of PIER.

Best regards,

Robert Wood Assistant Research Analyst Brazosport College 500 College Drive Lake Jackson, TX 77566 (979)-230-3189 robert.wood@brazosport.edu Deborah Lariviere 2022 Fall (16-Week - 221S) INTRODUCTION TO PHILOSOPHY(ONL) (PHIL1301 40221S) No. of responses = 5



Survey Results

3. Level of Agreeance: Instructions for course activities and assignments n=5 av.=4.4 strongly disagree N/A were clear. md=550 dev.=0.9 The workload in this course was well distributed n=5 strongly disagree N/A throughout the term. av.=4.4 md=5 50 dev.=0.9 The course was delivered as outlined in the syllabus. n=4 strongly disagree N/A av =4 md=4 50 dev.=0.8 Assessments/exams were based on material n=5 strongly disagree N/A covered in assignments, readings, lectures, videos, av.=4.4 md=5 group activities, etc. 50 dev.=0.9 The instructor made learning relevant to my field of strongly disagree N/A av.=4.5 md=5 study, career, or degree. dev.=1 The instructor used a variety of instructional n=5 av.=4 strongly disagree N/A methods (examples: group discussions, student md=5 presentations, student activities, lab activities, online dev.=1.4 discussions, video assignments, case studies, etc.) The instructor provided timely feedback on my n=5 strongly disagree N/A av.=4.4 assignments. md=550 dev.=0.9 The instructor exhibited professional behavior, n=5 strongly disagree N/A av.=4.4 treated students respectfully, and used appropriate md=5 language during class. dev.=1.3

3.9)	The instructor provided grades within the stated timeframe.	disagree	100 50 0	0%	0%	0%	20%	5 (%	N/A	n=5 av.=4.8 md=5 dev.=0.4
3.10)	The instructor was accessible to answer questions and explain material outside of class according to office hours.	disagree	50	0%	0%	0% 3	0%	0 5	%	N/A	n=5 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
4.	Overall Satisfaction With This Course:										
4.1)	Please rate your overall satisfaction with the learning experience in this course.	ssatisfied	100 50 0	0%	0%	20%	40			Very Satisfied	n=5 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.8
5.	Course Recommendation:										
5.1)	How likely are you to recommend this course with this instructor to a friend?	Unlikely	100 50 0	0%	0%	60%	200			Very Likely	n=5 av.=3.6 md=3 dev.=0.9
7.	Student Demographics:										
7.1)	How many credits have you completed toward your degree or o	certifica	ate?								
	0 - 30 completed									100%	n=3
	31 - 60 completed	ì								0%	
	61 - 90 completed	credits								0%	
	91 + completed	credits								0%	
7.2)	This course is instructed as:										
	a face-to-face	course								0%	n=4
	a hybrid/blended course (a combination of face-to-face and online instr	ruction)								0%	
	an online synchronous (students are required to participate online at a specif	fic time)								0%	
	an online asynchronous (students view course materials at an	ny time) (100%	
7.3)	This term I am currently enrolled in:										
	5 or less credi	it hours (25%	n=4
	6 - 8 credi	it hours								0%	
	9 - 11 credi	it hours								0%	
	12 or more credi	lit hours (75%	

7.4) My current major is:									
Bachelor of Applied Technology (BAT)	0%	n=4							
Associate of Arts or Multi-Disciplinary Degree (AA)	25%								
Associate of Arts in Teaching (AAT)	0%								
Associate of Science (AS)	75%								
Associate of Applied Science (AAS) in a technical area	0%								
Certificate in a technical area	0%								
^{7.5)} I am currently a high school student taking college courses.									
Yes	0%	n=4							
No C	100%								

Comments Report

6. Open Response:

- 6.1) What are the strengths of this course?
- 1. The way it can make one speak, come out of their shell, and express themselves in a relatable way that IS philosophical. 2. An instructor who you can think of as a Philosopher themselves, in turn, helping you become like so. 3. Learning the instructor's language is easy, they are, after all, thinkers, which encourages them to understand and communicate like a REAL human being to their students.
- She is a wonderfully understanding teacher. Very kind and reasonable
- critical thinking, writing
- 6.2) What changes would you recommend that would improve this course?
- A little less interaction, but that WOULD take away from the course. So I'm not so sure, but, I do think that the course needs a little more liveliness. Don't get me wrong, the course is pretty interesting, but maybe some reality would help because it is a lot of reading and imagination, maybe a video or more fourth wall breaking.
- I very much dislike online classes. I just wish i could have had it in person
- ^{6.3)} Any further, constructive comments?
- I am actually surprised at the fact that I learned about philosophers that had VERY similar ideas I have recently "came up with myself". Those around me never understand these "philosophies", heck, I didn't even know my beliefs were called that. It's amazing and relaxing to know that professors can understand an idea in the lecture and even speak to the students with a bit of reference when talking like a day to day conversation. Very paradoxical course in real life, academic life, and personal lives! 9/10

11/30/2022 Class Climate Evaluation Page 5